

## Somerset County Council Pension Fund Risk Register - June 2019

1. Risk Ref No: 2. Senior Risk Owner:	Description of Risk	Control measures already in place	Current Risk Score (with known controls in place)		Combined score	Additional mitigating actions/control measures planned to achieve target score	Target Risk score		combined score	Additional Control measure owner	Target Date	commentary following review, inc. date	Additional Information and explanation
			L	I			L	I					
1. PF1 2. Anton Sweet	The pension fund has insufficient available cash to meet its immediate (next 6 months) liabilities.	Cash flow forecasting of TM function.  Monthly review of asset allocation and cash levels	2	4	8		2	4	8		on-going with quarterly review		
1. PF2 2. Pensions Committee	The pension fund has insufficient available assets to meet its long term liabilities.	Funding Strategy Statement  Investment Strategy Statement	3	5	15	The triennial valuation includes provision for restoring the fund to full funding over 25 years  The current risk score partly reflects that the fund was 77% funded at the last valuation. An improvement in the funding level will reduce the likelihood of the risk occurring at some point in the future	2	5	10		Review again at next Valuation - 2019		This risk encapsulates the purpose of the fund in trying to always have sufficient assets to meet uncertain future liabilities with a pool of assets with uncertain future investment performance.  There is also the need to balance the funding needs of the fund with the desire to keep contributions as low and constant as possible.
1. PF3 2. Stephen Morton	The insolvency of an employer places additional liabilities on the fund and ultimately the remaining employers.	Admission agreements  Guarantee bonds	3	3	9	Ensure the on-going suitability of the guarantees in place with a review after each formal valuation  Review of actuarial results to consider employer specific funding ratios and employer 'Hot Spots'	2	2	4	Stephen Morton	on-going with quarterly review		Hot Spots' refers to employers whose benefits in payment exceed their contributions in a given period.
1. PF4 2. Peter Lewis	Vulnerability to long-term staff sickness and staff turn-over, especially for higher graded posts.	None, other than experience of other staff within the sections	3	3	9		2	3	6		on-going with quarterly review	Updated to include positive impact of pooling September 2016	Shared service with Devon makes this less of an issue with respect to benefits administration staff. The move to pooling of investments should make the fund less reliant on a small number of internal officers

## Somerset County Council Pension Fund Risk Register - June 2019

1. Risk Ref No: 2. Senior Risk Owner:	Description of Risk	Control measures already in place	Current Risk Score (with known controls in place)		Combined score	Additional mitigating actions/control measures planned to achieve target score	Target Risk score		combined score	Additional Control measure owner	Target Date	commentary following review, inc. date	Additional Information and explanation
			L	I			L	I					
1. PF5 2. Peter Lewis	Reliance on bespoke IT, which is exacerbated by a lack of experience of these bespoke systems within SCC IT support	As IT systems are refreshed or replaced build in support mechanisms	3	4	12		2	3	6		on-going with quarterly review		Shared service with Devon makes this less of an issue with respect to benefits administration IT. Pooling will also remove the need for some systems.
1. PF6 2. Pensions Committee	Risk of Regulatory change: - Implementation of change risks - Consequences of change risks	Continuous engagement with MHCLG and other interested stakeholders	4	4	16		2	3	6		on-going with quarterly review		The dictated change to pooling of investment arrangements and implementation of this presents a significant risk to the scheme.
1. PF7 2. Stephen Morton	Failure of Benefits Administration to perform their tasks, specifically leading to incorrect or untimely benefits payment.	Internal audit coverage  Annual report to committee with feedback from stakeholders  Internal procedures and checks	3	3	9		2	3	6		on-going with quarterly review		The greater resilience gained from the Peninsula Pensions shared service has been balanced by greater complexity coming into the scheme benefits.
1. PF8 2. Pensions Committee	Failure of Pensions Committee to manage the fund effectively	Policies and procedures adopted by pensions committee, specifically the committee training policy	3	4	12		2	4	8		on-going with quarterly review	Updated June 2017 to reflect turnover of Committee following County Council elections	

## Somerset County Council Pension Fund Risk Register - June 2019

1. Risk Ref No: 2. Senior Risk Owner:	Description of Risk	Control measures already in place	Current Risk Score (with known controls in place)		Combined score	Additional mitigating actions/control measures planned to achieve target score	Target Risk score		combined score	Additional Control measure owner	Target Date	commentary following review, inc. date	Additional Information and explanation
			L	I			L	I					
1. PF9 2. Anton Sweet	Insolvency of the fund's Global Custodian	Fund's assets held in client accounts not as assets of the custodian  Review of credit worthiness and inherent business risk of custodian at tender phase	2	4	8		2	4	8		on-going with quarterly review		The designation of the fund's assets as client assets ensures that they cannot be appropriated by creditors of the Custodian bank in the case of that entity going into administration.  As a result we should be able to recover substantially all of the assets of the fund held in custody but there would be considerable administrative and liquidity disruption
1. PF10 2. Pensions Committee	Failure of Brunel to deliver either Fee savings or investment performance	Representation on the Brunel Client Group and Oversight Board.	2	4	8		2	4	8		on-going with quarterly review		Added as per Committee request at September 2017 meeting.